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Pablo Sarasate (baptised Martín Melitón) was born 10 March 1844 in Pamplona (Navarre).\(^1\) Demonstrating an extraordinary talent for playing the violin, Pablo was sent for tuition at the Madrid Conservatoire. In 1855 it was decided that he should complete his studies at the Paris Conservatoire under the guidance of Jean-Delphin Alard.\(^2\) In July of that year Pablo and his mother, Javiera Antonia, set off on the long journey to Paris. In Bayonne Javiera contracted cholera, rapidly succumbed, and died.\(^3\) It was arranged that Pablo would be taken to Paris where he was welcomed into the home of M. Théodore and Mme. Amélie Lassabathie. Théodore (d.1871) was the administrator of the Conservatoire; he and Amélie (d.1872), being childless, treated Pablo as if he was the son they never had. In due course Pablo referred to Mme. Lassabathie as his ‘mother’ and Mme. Lassabathie, in turn, referred to Pablo as ‘the Baby’.

In 1857 the Paris Conservatoire awarded Sarasate their Premier Prix for violin performance. As was always the case, the prize brought with it a new violin made by the Paris violin dealers, Gand frères. Within the Gand/Bernardel/Caressa & Français business ledgers there are the following documents:\(^4\)

[Directory] Répertoire 1854-1861 [E.981.8.3]
Conservatoire; page-references 22, 50, 104, 150, 188, 224, 280, 344, 396, 478.

Page 224, Conservatoire; entries beginning 20 October 1857
1857 Décembre 8: Un violon neuf, N° 270, donné en P\(^1\) [Premier] prix à M\(^1\) Sarazate [sic].
240 [francs].

There was nothing unique about this violin – it was just another instrument which emerged from the Gand workshop; all such violins were priced at 240 francs. An inscription, in gold paint, was applied to the ribs of the violin: au premier prix du Conservatoire de Paris en l’année 1857. A further document confirms the sale of this violin to the Conservatoire:

Registre de ventes; instruments neufs et anciens [E.981.8.38]
1857, [N°] 270, Vendu au Conservatoire p\(^2\) le prix de M\(^2\) Sarazatte [altered to Sarasate]
240 [francs].

---

\(^1\) In later years ‘Pablo’ was a self-chosen replacement for ‘Martín’.

\(^2\) Alard was the son-in-law of the violin-maker and dealer Jean-Baptiste Vuillaume.

\(^3\) Some accounts state that Javiera Antonia died of a heart attack.

\(^4\) The Musée de la Musique, in Paris, has placed online more than 40 free-to-access digital files, the files containing an image of each and every page from the business ledgers which were used by the personnel at the Gand/Bernardel/Caressa & Français violin dealership in Paris during the nineteenth and early-twentieth centuries: http://archivesmusee.citedelamusique.fr/en/gand/archives.html. The ledgers are paired together: 1) a Directory (Répertoire) showing customers’ names in alphabetical order, each name usually being followed by an address; adjacent to the address are numbers which refer to pages in 2) the General Ledger (Grand Livre) which usually covers the same chronological period as the Directory. Code numbers for all these digitised files follow a consistent format: E.981.8.xx.
According to Julio Altadill this prize violin was destroyed in a fire at the ‘teatro de la Opera de la calle de Pelletier.’ Altadill is here referring to the ‘Salle Le Peletier’ which was the home of the Paris Opera from 1821 until 29 October 1873 (Altadill specifies ‘1874’) when the entire building was destroyed by fire. The reason why Sarasate’s prize violin should have been left at the theatre is unclear; it has been suggested that Sarasate loaned the violin to a friend who was a member of the Opera orchestra. Altadill continues by stating that Gand & Bernardel frères made another ‘identical’ violin, as a replacement, which was presented to Sarasate; the violin is today exhibited at the Museo de Sarasate in Pamplona.

In the years immediately following Sarasate’s success at the Paris Conservatoire he made only occasional purchases from Gand frères:

Grand Livre 1854-1864 [E.981.8.36]
Monsieur Sarasate [sic]; transactions between June 1859 and November 1866

There are just nine entries during this seven-year period, identifying only the purchase of strings and bow-hair; total cost of these purchases was 23 francs and 55 centimes.

The Antonio Stradivari instruments which are associated with Pablo Sarasate are a 1724 violin (known today as the Sarasate) and a 1713 violin (the Boissier).

Antonio Stradivari 1724 violin: the Sarasate

It has recently been stated that the Sarasate violin was owned by Il Conte Cozio di Salabue (1755-1840) and was sold by the Count to Niccolò Paganini (1782-1840). Count Cozio’s documentation shows that the one and only instrument which he sold to Paganini (the sale taking place in July 1817) was a 1724 Stradivari violin which had dimensions entirely different from those of the Sarasate violin.

The 1724 Cozio/Paganini violin was built around Stradivari’s now-lost P.G. mould which would have generated a violin with basic dimensions of:

- 170.6mm (upper-bout width)
- 111.3mm (centre-bout width)
- 209.6mm (lower-bout width)
- 361mm (soundbox length).

Confirmation of the existence of the P.G. mould is found in the index which appears at the end of ms. Cozio 47, an index written out by the Count himself:

- Violino Ant Strad mio più bello P.G. 1716 fol. 14
- V° d’Strad a [forma] P.G. mio [?*] 1724 fol. 15 [*one unreadable word]

---

6 It would seem that G&B also applied the inscription, in gold paint, to the replacement instrument.
7 The violin is exhibited at the Musée de la Musique, in Paris.
9 Biblioteca Statale di Cremona, Libreria Civica, mss. Cozio 41, 42, 46, and 47.
10 (not the much smaller PG mould of June 1689); for full details see the present author’s article The case of the missing mould (The Strad, June 2018, pp. 54-58).
Count Cozio’s measurements of his 1724 (Paganini) violin – ms. Cozio 47, folio 15 – demonstrate that the width of the upper bout was 170.7mm, the width of the lower bout was 210.6mm, and the body length was 361mm. These measurements do not match the dimensions of the Sarasate violin:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Front</th>
<th>Back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UB</td>
<td>167.7mm</td>
<td>167.8mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>109.2mm</td>
<td>108.7mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>207.0mm</td>
<td>207.7mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>355.3mm</td>
<td>356.5mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paganini described the 1724 Stradivari violin which he bought from Count Cozio as ‘the father of all violins; [it] has a tone almost as big as that of a contrabass, so distinguished is it by its power.’ Paganini’s assessment of the violin’s tone echoes the descriptive comments previously written by Count Cozio:

- *fortissimo di voce*
- *fortissimo di voce e quasi tenore*
- *questo ha la voce più forte, e da tenore*

Conversely, note the Hills’ description of the delicate tone of the Sarasate violin:

Sarasate’s Stradivari, made in 1724, stands by itself in both tone and style; and all who have listened to this delightful master of the art of coaxing forth pure and beautiful tone must have been struck by the ethereal and sparkling quality of his “Strad” – never sonorous, yet always clear and distinct, even when passages of the most extreme rapidity and delicacy are being rendered on it.

In his autobiography, the American violinist Albert Spalding writes of Sarasate’s performance style:

He played Beethoven with the perfumed polish of a courtier who doesn’t quite believe what he is saying to Majesty. But when he reached a piece like *La Fée d’amour* by Raff […] or his own *Spanish Dances* he was completely in his element. The violin sang like a thrush and his incomparable ease tossed aside difficulties with a grace and insouciance that affected even his gestures. There was a kind of studied sophistication in the way he tripped onto the stage aping the airs and graces of Watteau’s *L’Indifférent*. I don’t think I ever heard a forte passage from his bow; his palette held pastel shades only.

The 1724 Sarasate violin cannot be the 1724 violin which Count Cozio sold to Paganini in July 1817. Count Cozio can be excluded from the history of the Sarasate violin.

Niccolò Paganini died on 27 May 1840. An inventory of his posthumous estate indicated the ownership of seven Antonio Stradivari violins, two by Giuseppe Guarneri del Gesù, one Giuseppe Guarneri, one Andrea Guarneri, two Niccolo Amati, one Carlo Tononi, and one Ruggieri – fifteen violins in total. In addition there were two Stradivari violas (1721 and 1731) and two Stradivari cellos (1708 and 1736) as well as an Andrea Guarneri cello and a Pietro Rogeri cello. Paganini’s seven Stradivari violins are dated by Geraldine de Courcy to 1680, 1692, 1695, 1724 (two such violins), 1725, and 1726.

---

11 See the present author’s detailed article *Fortissimo di voce e quasi tenore*, linked from www.themessiahviolin.uk.
12 Measurements from the Musée de la Musique website.
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In the years immediately following Niccolò’s death all his instrumental possessions were in the hands of his son, Achille (b.1825). Achille’s commercial strategies during these years are largely unknown but it is documented that in 1846 he took (or sent) a quartet of his father’s Stradivari instruments – two violins, a viola, and a cello – to Jean-Baptiste Vuillaume in Paris for restoration and sale. Achille’s proposed price for all four instruments (which were to be sold in one transaction) was 20,000 francs, an extremely high price for the time. In both 1849 and 1850 Vuillaume reported to Achille that no offers had been received for the quartet. In March 1851 Vuillaume offered to buy the instruments himself, for 12,000 francs, but subsequently withdrew his offer. In September 1851 a revised agreement between Vuillaume and Achille was drawn up in which the unity of the quartet was dissolved in favour of each instrument being for sale individually. The cello was valued at 5,000 francs; the viola and the two violins were valued at 2,500 francs each. Note that the ‘value’ simply indicated the sum of money which Vuillaume contracted to send to Achille if and when each of the instruments was sold; the agreement did not require Vuillaume to sell the instruments at the ‘value’ price; he could sell the instruments at the highest price obtainable and then pocket the difference.

Nine letters sent by Vuillaume to Achille Paganini between 12 December 1846 and 12 September 1851 have survived. Only in the earliest letter does Vuillaume provide brief details of the repairs and restoration which he has carried out on the four instruments; noticeably, the two violins are identified solely by their varnish colour: violon jaune and violon rouge. Vuillaume says nothing about the construction or quality of the plates, ribs, or scrolls; just one split is mentioned – in the front plate of the violon jaune – but the location of the split is not identified. The label dates of the four instruments are not mentioned and therefore attempting to link a specific violin to the minimal evidence contained within this letter is problematic.

Vuillaume’s repairs to Achille’s violon jaune – for which he charged 20 francs – are:

\[\text{Remis la cassure à la table du violon jaune, l’avoir rebarrée, allongé et élevé le manche, mis une touche, refait la poignée, fourni chevilles, queue, chevalet et monture.}\]

Repair the split in the front plate of the yellow violin, re-bar it, lengthen and lift the neck [by fitting a neck-foot wedge], fit a [new] fingerboard, re-shape the ‘handle’ [neck], provide pegs, tailpiece, bridge and strings.

Vuillaume’s repairs to Achille’s violon rouge – 30 francs – are:

\[\text{Fait revenir la voûte de la table du violon rouge, l’avoir rebarrée, mis une enture, touche, chevilles, chevalet et monture.}\]

Restore the arching of the front plate of the red violin, re-bar it, insert a neck-foot wedge, fingerboard, pegs, bridge and strings.

The possibility exists that it was this ‘red violin’ which Vuillaume eventually sold to Pablo Sarasate. Note the following:

- Vuillaume’s description of both violins: ‘well preserved but they do not have the brilliance of varnish and the richness of wood which attracts connoisseurs and high prices’
- C N E Gand’s description of the Sarasate violin: ‘the varnish is pale red, faded’
- The Hills’ description of the Sarasate violin: ‘unattractive in appearance’

---

17 See the text quoted from Julio Altadill (p. 5 of this account) with respect to the price paid for the Sarasate violin.
19 Ibid., p. 46.
20 Ibid.
21 Letter to Achille Paganini, 22 March 1851; Les violons sont bien conservés ...
22 See the quotation on p. 6 of this account.
23 Antonio Stradivari (1902), p. 69.
with respect to Vuillaume’s restoration of the arching of the front plate of the red violin note
the comment made by Jean-Philippe Echard (curator, Musée de la Musique): ‘a large
rectangular patch reinforces the belly [of the Sarasate violin] between the f-holes.’

If the violin bought by Pablo Sarasate from Vuillaume was the latter’s ‘red violin’ (previously owned
by Achille Paganini, and, before him, by Niccolò) – and since, as shown, the Sarasate violin cannot,
for dimensional reasons, be associated with Count Cozio – then the source from whom Niccolò
Paganini obtained the violin is unknown.

Perhaps Vuillaume’s references to the two violins in terms only of their varnish colour – ‘yellow’ and
‘red’ – was necessitated precisely because both violins exhibited the same label-date, i.e. 1724; two
such violins are identified by G I C de Courcy (see p. 3 of this account). Perhaps Achille believed that
the uniqueness of a Stradivari quartet which featured two violins made in the same year would justify
(at least in part) the high price he was specifying for a ‘one transaction’ sale; the fact that all four
instruments had been in Niccolò Paganini’s possession would surely be a further attractant.

Nonetheless, Vuillaume was unable to sell the quartet, which might suggest that the undistinguished
physical/visual condition of the instruments outweighed their provenance. Vuillaume’s sober
assessment of the violins – ‘well preserved but …’ – is underpinned by further, forceful, comments
which he makes in his 22 March 1851 letter to Achille:

You exaggerate the beauty of your instruments. If they were as you assess them I would long ago
have obtained the contracted sum by selling them separately. Your assessment is not at all
realistic; only the viola is a first class [instrument].

From the high-resolution photographic portraits of the Sarasate violin it is difficult to disagree with
Vuillaume’s bluntly-expressed opinion.

Julio Altadill writes:

El Stradivarius construido en 1724 por el famoso é insuperado luthier, vino á manos de Sarasate
el año 1866, mediante la cesión de otro violín italiano, regular, que hasta entonces era el
predilecto, más cinco mil francos. [...] La compra se hizo á Vuillaume, constructor celebrado del
siglo XIX que ha producido notabilísimos ejemplares.

The Stradivari violin constructed in 1724 by the famous and peerless violin-maker came into the
hands of Sarasate in 1866. Sarasate handed over his ‘ordinary’ Italian violin which, until then,
had been his favourite instrument, as well as 5,000 francs [...] The purchase was from the house
of Vuillaume, the celebrated violin-maker of the nineteenth century who [himself] produced
remarkable specimens [of violins].

Whether Sarasate’s violín italiano had been bought at an earlier date from Vuillaume (which is why J-
BV was willing to take back the instrument in part-exchange) is unknown. A Stradivari violin
belonging to Sarasate is itemised in the Gand & Bernardel ledgers in October 1866:

\begin{tabular}{ll}
1866 Octobre 3 & Pour réparation d’un violon (St.\textsuperscript{ù}), 6 francs \\
1869 Décembre 1 & Visité le violon Stradivarius, 3 francs \\
\end{tabular}

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item Jost Thöne. \textit{Antonius Stradivarius}, Vol. VIII, p. 44.
\item See Thöne, Vol. VIII, pp. 44-51; the photographs are by Jan Röhrmann.
\item Altadill, \textit{op. cit.}, p. 512.
\item W Henley (\textit{Antonio Stradivari: Master Luthier}) states ‘1864’ (p. 74) but does not support this date of purchase with any evidence.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
These inspections and minor repairs continue throughout the 1870s and 1880s. The label-date of the Stradivari violin is never mentioned but it is reasonable to assume that the violin is the 1724 instrument which Sarasate had bought from Vuillaume. If, following Altadill, that purchase took place during 1866 (but prior to October) then it is curious that it was Gand & Bernardel, rather than Vuillaume, who carried out the repairs.28 Vuillaume had closed the doors of his shop in the rue Croix des Petits Champs in 1858 before ‘retiring’ to his mansion on the outskirts of Paris but he was still making and selling instruments, and Sarasate surely went to Vuillaume’s address – 3 rue Demours aux Ternes – to buy the 1724 Stradivari violin. Vuillaume did not die until 1875.

*****

In 1870 Charles Nicolas Eugène Gand described Pablo Sarasate’s 1724 Stradivari violin in his Catalogue descriptif des Instruments de Stradivarius et J. Guarnerius (p. 37):29

(année 1870) M° Sarasate, Paris
Violon Stradivarius, 13 pouces 2 lignes, année 1724
Fond de 2 pièces assez beau, veines remontant, trous de vers rebouchés dans le haut à gauche principalement, belles éclisses veines un peu plus serrées, table de 2 pièces, sapin serré au milieu et s’élargissant sur les bords, cassure partant du haut de l’f gauche et allant jusqu’au bord; 2 petites fentes sous la main et une à droite du cordier, belle tête, manche original. Vernis rouge pâle décoloré.

(1870) Mr Sarasate, Paris
Antonio Stradivari violin, 13 pouces 2 lignes [356.4mm], year 1724
The back plate is made from two pieces, fairly attractive, the flames rising [from the centre-joint]; worm tracks – principally in the upper bout, on the left – have been plugged.30 Beautiful ribs with flames which are slightly tighter/narrower [than on the back plate]. The front plate is made from two pieces; the spruce [growth-rings are] tight/narrow in the middle, widening towards the edges. There is a split from the top of the bass f-hole extending to the edge; two small splits under the hand [i.e. top-right of the front plate] and one to the right of the tail-piece. Beautiful head, original neck. The varnish is pale red, faded.

Count Cozio’s descriptions of his 1724 (Paganini) violin identify it as having back-plate flames ‘which are rather wide and beautiful’ – ‘the most beautiful wide flames’ – ‘wide bright flames’. These enthusiastically positive descriptions sit against Gand’s commentary regarding the visual appearance of the back plate of the Sarasate violin: assez beau.

It is noticeable that the Sarasate violin, as exhibited today at the Musée de la Musique, in Paris, has two vertical strips of purfling inserted in the rib at the end-pin (i.e. below the tail-piece ‘saddle’), presumably to restore the structural shape of the rib following shrinkage. Charles Gand makes no mention of this distinctive feature despite his observation of a split in the violin’s top plate to the right of the tail-piece; perhaps the rib was restored post-1870. Gand also makes no mention of the block-capital letters ‘P G’ which, today, can be seen within the violin’s peg-box cavity.

At an unknown date the neck fitted by Stradivari was detached from the sound-box of the Sarasate violin and wedges fitted to its ‘foot’ in order to raise, re-angle, and lengthen the neck; the various joints in the wood can be seen in the photograph posted by the Musée de la Musique on its website

28 Had there been a serious falling-out between J-BV and Sarasate? Grange Woolley, in Pablo de Sarasate: his historical significance, Music & Letters, Vol. 36. No. 3 (July 1955), p. 238, states: ‘As a man Sarasate was proud and aloof towards strangers, sometimes condescending and sarcastic with his friends […]’
30 An argument which counters that which has been proposed by the present author, i.e. that the Achille Paganini/Vuillaume ‘red’ violin may be the Sarasate violin, could be built upon the fact that Vuillaume, in his repair-report, makes no mention of any worm damage in the back plate of the red violin. However, it is perfectly possible that the worm damage had been repaired before Vuillaume saw the violin in 1846.
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inventory number E.1729). Vuillaume, in his 12 December 1846 letter to Achille Paganini, identifies his responsibility for fitting just such a neck-graft – *mis une enture* – to the *violon rouge.*

*****

In November 1869 Gand & Bernardel inspected a J-B Vuillaume violin which Sarasate had bought:

*Grand Livre 1866-1876 [E.981.8.14]*
*M’ Sarrasate [sic]*
*1869 Novembre 12 Visité, nettoyé etc. le violon Vuillaume, 3 francs*

Vuillaume’s violin accompanied Sarasate when he was on tour, it being a worthy replacement should climatic conditions or an accident prevent him from using his 1724 Stradivari. In a letter sent by Sarasate on 28 July 1871 from Newport (Rhode Island, USA) to Mme. Lassabathie in Paris his opening phrase indicates that it was she who paid for the Vuillaume violin:

31 A collection of 29 letters, sent by Sarasate to his adoptive mother, Mme. Lassabathie, is archived at the Sibley Library, Eastman School of Music, University of Rochester, USA.

32 Carlotta Patti (c.1840-1889); operatic soprano and sister to Adelina Patti.

33 Underscores are as they appear in the manuscript letter.

31 Ton Vuillaume a subi une grande amélioration. C’est aujourd’hui un très beau Violon qui a eu l’honneur de combattre avec son maitre, déjà plusieurs fois devant des auditoires magnifiques. J’ai fait débuter ce monsieur à Lima, au Bénéfice de la Patti. Maintenant il n’a plus peur.
Your Vuillaume has undergone a profound improvement [in tonal quality?]. It is now a very beautiful violin that has had the honour of going into [musical] battle with its master, several times already, in front of magnificent audiences. I ‘baptised’ this gentleman [i.e. the violin] in Lima [Peru], at a benefit concert for Patti. He no longer has any fear.

32 He no longer has any fear.

This Vuillaume violin was still in Sarasate’s possession when he died in 1908 and must therefore be the ‘excellent’ violin identified by Otto Goldschmidt (see later in this account).

*****

In New York, at the recently-opened Steinway Hall, while carrying his Stradivari violin as he climbed a staircase to the concert stage, Sarasate slipped and fell. He described the accident in a letter, dated 22 April 1870, to Mme. Lassabathie:

31 Chère Mère,

[…] Un accident m’est arrivé à ce Concert, qui aurait pu me couter bien des larmes, mais heureusement le tout a fini pas des frictions. En montant l’escalier qui conduit à l’estrade, et sur la dernière marche mon pied gauche a faibli, et j’ai dégringolé jusqu’en bas (une vingtaine de marches) **avec mon Stradivarius** que je n’ai pas lâché (plutôt la mort) et qui n’a eu que ses cordes cassées, et son chevalet renversé – dans le premier moment j’ai cru qu’il était en morceaux, et je me sentais mourir, mais quelle joie après! … on m’a frictionné au foyer, et après dix minutes d’entre’acte j’ai joué sur le Vuillaume un duo sur ‘Les Huguenots’, ma jambe est enflée, et je boite […]

Dear Mother,

[…] An accident befell me at this concert, [an accident] which could have caused me many tears but fortunately ended with only minor injuries. While climbing the staircase which leads to the stage – on the very last step – my left foot gave way underneath me and I tumbled to the bottom (about twenty steps) **with my Stradivarius** which I didn’t let go of (death is preferable) and which suffered only broken strings and a collapsed bridge – at first I thought that the violin was in pieces and I felt myself dying, but this was followed by such joy! … after being attended to in the foyer,
and after a delay of just ten minutes, I performed a duo on [themes from] ‘Les Huguenots’\(^{34}\) using my [V]uillaume violin. My leg is swollen, and I limp [...].

In another letter, one which has no date nor any indication of Sarasate’s location, he makes an urgent plea to Mme. Lassabathie:

\[\begin{align*} &\text{[\ldots]} \text{Veux tu m’envoyer le Certificat de Vuillaume que spécifie que mon Stradivarius à appartenu à Paganini? Je ne puis te dire quel service tu me rendrais en me l’adressant, ou en m’en faisant avoir un autre par le dit M’ Vuillaume.} \\
&\text{Would you send me the Vuillaume certificate which states that my Stradivari belonged to Paganini? You would be doing me a huge favour by sending it to me or by obtaining another [i.e. a copy-certificate] from the aforementioned M. Vuillaume.} \end{align*}\]

Sarasate’s letter continues with news of un grand succès avec le Max Bruch accompagné par 100 musiciens de la Société Philharmonique. On 3 February 1872 Sarasate had given the first performance in America of Bruch’s Violin Concerto, with the New York Philharmonic conducted by Carl Bergmann; Sarasate’s letter to Mme. Lassabathie was probably written very shortly thereafter. The reason why he so urgently needed Vuillaume’s certificate is unknown; perhaps, during a conversation with someone in New York, Sarasate had indicated a connection between his violin and Niccolò Paganini, this claim being met with expressions of doubt from his interlocutor. The current location of Vuillaume’s certificate is unknown; it is not archived at the Musée de la Musique in Paris.

*****

Having concluded his tour of both north and south America, Sarasate arrived back in Paris at the end of May 1872 and immediately hurried to Gand & Bernardel – not to Vuillaume – for repairs to his violins:

\begin{center}
\textit{\textbf{Grand Livre 1866-1876 [E.981.8.14]}}
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{M\textsuperscript{\textregistered} Sarasate} [sic]
  \item \textbf{1872 Juin 18} \textbf{réparation du Stradivarius} \textbf{15 francs}
  \item [same date] \textbf{réparation du violon Vuillaume} \textbf{1 franc[!]}
\end{itemize}
\end{center}

Gand & Bernardel continued to be Sarasate’s favoured dealers; e.g.:

\begin{center}
\textit{\textbf{Grand Livre 1881-1887 [E.981.8.4]}}
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{M\textsuperscript{\textregistered} Sarasate}
  \item \textbf{1885 Septembre 29} \textbf{Visité et remonté le Stradivarius, repoli la touche} [... cleaned the fingerboard]
  \item \textbf{Visité le Vuillaume, repoli la touche, repassé les chevilles} [... re-set the pegs]
  \item \textbf{Visité et recollé le violon Suédois} [Swedish]\(^{35}\)
  \item \textbf{1887 Octobre 15} \textbf{Visité et recollé Stradivarius, redressé la touche, repassé les chevilles et remonté. Visité le Vuillaume.}
\end{itemize}
\end{center}

In 1893 Pablo Sarasate bought a viola from Gustave Bernardel; he bought another in 1894:

\begin{center}
\textit{\textbf{Grand Livre 1892-1899 [E.981.8.41]}}
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{Sarasate}
  \item \textbf{1892 September 17} \textbf{Dressé la touche du violon Vuillaume}
  \item \textbf{1893 Avril 13} \textbf{Un alto [viola] G. et B\textsuperscript{\textregistered} N° 192, avec étui}
\end{itemize}
\end{center}

\(^{34}\) Opera composed by Giacomo Meyerbeer; first production in 1836 (Salle le Peletier, Paris).

\(^{35}\) Altadill, p. 517, identifies a “Norwegian” violin.
The final entry in the 1905-1912 ledger is dated 1909 Octobre 21:

*Emballage et frais de douane, transport de la vitrine double, 135 francs*

It is reasonable to assume that the double showcase was destined for Pamplona and would house the Vuillaume violin and the Gand frères prize violin when they were put on display in that city.

*****

**Antonio Stradivari 1713 violin: the Boissier**

In October 1888 Sarasate bought the 1713 Stradivari violin known today as the *Boissier*:

*Grand Livre 1888-1892* [E.981.8.27]

**Sarasate**

1888 Octobre 26 1 violin Stradivarius 1411 [stock number], 20,000 francs

According to the website of the Real Conservatorio Superior de Música de Madrid, Sarasate’s 1713 *Boissier* violin has a label in which the date numerals 13 (only) are hand-written. According to the Hills, from 1700 onwards Antonio Stradivari ‘decided to print henceforth only the figure 1, adding the remaining three figures with his pen; and from this rule he never again deviated.’ Perhaps the Conservatorio’s information is incorrect; perhaps the *Boissier* label is false; perhaps the Hills’ certainty of expression is built upon incomplete knowledge (yet they clearly knew the *Boissier* violin – see quotation on p. 10 of this account).

The *Boissier* violin was described either by Charles Gand or by Gustave Bernardel in a document which was subsequently copied into a descriptive catalogue compiled by their successors – Caressa & Français:

1888: Sarasate

Violon A. Stradivarius, année 1713, 13 pouces 2 lignes

*Fond de 2 pièces splendide, ondes descendant, éclisses ondes un peu plus larges, table de 2 pièces superbe sapin plus fin au milieu, cassures à l’âme et à la barre, doublure, très belle tête intacte, vernis rouge doré splendide. ex Boissier de Genève, Naegely légué au Conservatoire de Madrid 1908.*

1888: Sarasate

Antonio Stradivari violin, 1713, 13 pouces 2 lignes [356.4mm]

The back plate is made from two splendid pieces, the flames descending. The flames of the ribs are slightly wider [than on the back plate]. The front plate is made from two pieces; superb; the

---


37 *Antonio Stradivari*, p. 218.

38 Jacques Français Business Records, sales ledgers 1845-1938; Smithsonian Institution, USA; Box 55, folder 2, p. 146.
spruce [‘rings are] thinner/narrower at the middle; there are splits at the sound-post and at the bass-bar; doubled. Very beautiful head; intact. The varnish is golden red, splendid.

ex Boissier of Geneva, Naegely
Bequeathed to the Madrid Conservatoire in 1908.

The violin is described by the Hills:

The year 1713 gives us an admirable violin, the “Boissier”, now owned by Señor Sarasate. Fétis mentions it as one of the finest existing Stradivaris, and we can certainly confirm his statement. Its outline is of the 14\(\frac{1}{16}\) inch form [357.2mm], the model a little fuller, though closely following that of the “Parke” instrument [of 1711]; the edge, corners, and purfling are perhaps a trifle neater, and the sound-holes more lightly cut. The varnish is also of great beauty, its tint being a shade redder than that of the “Parke”, and the whole instrument is in very fine condition.

According to the article On Old Violins40 ‘Boissier’ was ‘a wealthy citizen of Geneva’ who discovered the violin in the possession of a local blacksmith. Not until after M. Boissier had died was the violin sold – to J-B Vuillaume – from whom it passed to ‘an amateur’ – presumably ‘Naegely’ – who kept the violin for twenty years. The violin was then sold ‘to a Parisian violin-maker’ – presumably Gand & Bernardel – who sold it to Sarasate in October 1888. Sarasate ‘carried it with him during the season of 1888-9 at Geneva, where the artist had lodgings at the Hotel de Russie.’

From the Gand & Bernardel ledger:

Grand Livre 1888-1892 [E.981.8.27]
Sarasate

*****

Sarasate died on 20 September 1908. Shortly thereafter the following letter was published in Le Ménestrel:

Communication de M. Otto Goldschmidt, le « manager de Sarasate »:


A communication received from Mr Otto Goldschmidt, Sarasate’s manager:
‘As the testamentary executor for Sarasate I here make known, for the benefit of all violinists and violin-makers, that the 1724 Stradivari violin on which Sarasate always played in public – a violin which did not belong to the Spanish crown nor was it given to the artist by Queen Isabella – was

41 Le Ménestrel, 31 October 1908.
Pablo Sarasate and his Stradivari violins

bequeathed to the Paris Conservatoire. The second Stradivari violin, of 1713, will go to the museum of the Conservatoire of Madrid. These two instruments, as with Paganini’s violin in Genoa, must be conserved for future generations. If, one day in the future, all Stradivari’s instruments were to be wrecked by violinists, then at least these two specimens will remain and would serve as models. I have declined an offer of 80,000 francs for the second violin [the Boissier]. In addition to these two instruments Sarasate’s estate [‘residue’] includes an excellent violin by Vuillaume and another by Gand. This last carries a dedication: au premier prix du Conservatoire de Paris en l’année 1857. These two instruments have been bequeathed to the Sarasate Museum in Pamplona. Sarasate did not own any other violins.

Yours etc.
Otto Goldschmidt.’

It is noticeable that while Goldschmidt firmly quashes any idea that Sarasate’s 1724 violin had a connection with Queen Isabella of Spain he does not say where Sarasate obtained the violin (which is curious since he mentions Vuillaume as the maker of an ‘excellent violin’).

**************************************************************
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